



Graduate Research Advanced Skills Program (GRASP)

Research Proposal Series (Milestone 1)

Literature review: reading and writing

Dr Petra Dumbell, Sem 1 2023



I acknowledge Whadjuk Nyungar as the Custodians of the land on which I live and work. This Country was never ceded, it always was, and always will be Aboriginal land.

I pay my respects to Whadjuk Nyungar Elders past and present. I value their ancestral and continuing caring for Country practices and thank them for looking after this Country for so long.

I appreciate Indigenous ways of communication which are inclusive and respectful, and I recognise that this Country has been a place of teaching, research and learning for tens of thousands of years.



Literature Review - Reading

- Reading and selecting

How do you decide whether a resource is useful for your thesis?


What do you do with resources that you

will

won't

will only marginally use?

Frame your literature review



How many papers?

EN EndNote X9 - [My PhD Library-Saved yey-Saved 2018.enl]

EN File Edit References Groups Tools Window Help

Annotations: Annotated

My Library		Author	Year
All References	(472)		
Configure Sync...			
Recently Added	(0)		
Unfiled	(2)		
Trash	(8)		
TO DO			
01 Still to Check - Lit Review	(0)		
02 Still to Check - Discussion	(0)		
03 Still to Check - Methodology	(0)		
DONE			
Could read - confs	(118)		
Could read - KM - innovation	(14)		
Could read - methods	(11)		
Could read-further afield	(10)		
For Gaby - 1	(14)		
For Gaby - 2	(7)		
Gaby paper 3	(15)		
Too far away	(118)		
Twitter paper	(9)		
Used	(154)		
Used - Methods	(22)		
			2003
			2013
		Aalsburg Wie...	2008
		Abdoumalig, ...	2009
		Abram, Steph...	2008
		Abram, S.; Jon...	2001
		Ackroyd, K.	1991
		Adanu, Theod...	2007
		Adler, Ralph ...	1997
		Adomi, Eshar...	2006
		Adomi, Eshar...	2003
		Aiken, James	2006
		Alaimo, Rosina	2004
		Alajmi, Bibi; A...	
		Allan, Barbara	2003
		Amoore, San...	2010
		Amoore, San...	2011
		Anderson, G.; ...	2014
		Anderson, Lyn...	2010
		Anderson, T. D.	2013
		Anderson, T.D...	2008
		??? American ...	2004
		Australian Lib	2014

Literature Review - Reading

- Skim-read
- ... then read
- Capture information:
 - Bibliographic information (Endnote, Mendeley, Zotero...)
 - Notes about the resources (Word, Excel..)
- ✓ Tip: work out a process, but don't spend too much time on it.
- ✓ Be flexible and adapt your process.
- ✓ Be kind to your future self – don't think you will remember everything!

TEMPLATE:

Author(s), year (*title*)

Mark: key paper, study, opinion paper/editorial, LIS

Keywords

General thoughts on conferences:

Claims about conferences without proof

Barriers to conference attendance

On literature on conferences

On aims of conferences

On networking aspect of conferences

On knowledge aspect of conferences

On motivation aspect of conferences

On organising conferences:

On impact of conferences

On financial impact

On digital media at conferences:

Study

Method: (qualitative/quantitative, data gathering and analysis, size)

Findings:

Notes re their research instrument

My comments/limitations

Other notes

To do with papers

Author's name							
Read the paper 😊							
Take notes/quotes and add to Lit review notes doc							
If it's too far away from core topic > Add to <i>Too far away</i> folder in Endnote > Add note to Endnote record (or add keyword if it should be in "Could read"-folder)							
Add paper to mindmap							
Move to the Done folder on Dropbox, check spelling of PDF							
Add reference to Endnote (in folder <i>Used</i> or <i>Too far away</i> , <i>delete</i> in "Still to read"-folder)							
Check reference in Endnote (DOI, URL, date accessed, abstract...), and add PDF							
Add reference to Chapter doc, and check with APA document and other examples							
Check bibliography, follow new papers rules below if there are any I should read, use "too far away"-folder in Endnote							
Decide if paper is <i>key paper</i> , if yes > Search in <u>WoS</u> and Scopus, set up alerts, check citations and add to docs							

Themes in research about PhD students	Humbug et al (2009)	Mewburn (2012)	Whathisname (2013)
Reasons for undertaking a higher degree	Argues that this varies by discipline	Argues that there is a clear gender division in the discipline enrolments - but older people less so.	Doesn't mention this – many people don't actually. Is this a problem with the literature?
Completion rates.	Shows that men drop out more than women in almost all disciplines.	Shows that older people who are enrolled part time are more persistent than those who enrol part time	Shows that attrition varies by institution and that the 'richer' institutions lose less students
Social learning in PhD student communities	Doesn't mention this	Shows examples of conversations to show that older people have more complex discussions about 'meta' issues in PhD study than younger students	Suggests the community in richer institutions is better than that in poorer institutions.
Relationships with supervisor - how important is it?	Argues that the relationship with supervisor is a key determinant of success	Argues that older people deal with poor supervision better than younger people	Suggests that poorer institutions have a 'younger' supervisor profile

Dr Inger Mewburn. TW blackline masters.

<https://sites.google.com/site/twblacklinemasters/using-a-matrix-to-organise-your-notes-for-faster-writing>

No.	Author/s	Year	DOI	Title	Abstract	Journal / Book	ABDC	Paper type	Topics	Sourced by:	Notes	Predictor variable/s (direct)	Predictor variable/s (med / mod)
8													
9													
10													
11													
12													
13													
14													
15													
16													
17													

Key
Seminal
Useful
Possible
Improbable

	Meth - Theory - Iview
Theory	AppRx - OrgJus - Rej - SocPres
Qual	Perf - OrgAtt - Anx - Fair
Quan	SDT - Relat - Auton - Compet - Motiv
SysRev	Tech - AVI - Algor - Design
Meta	Brand - Spend
Exp	Cog Load - Train - SDF


Hayley Moore, Research Assistant, Future of Work Institute, Faculty of Business and Law)

Literature Review - Writing


How do you write?

- Use mindmap or other method to outline your chapter
- Plan your headings/sections/paragraphs/signposts
- Keep your audience in mind

Focus on DURING the event



Focus on POST-event



Rob Hunt. PhD Candidate | MSc(Astro) | GDipEd(Sc) | GCert(SpSt) | BAppSc(Bio)

Discussion

Introduction

- Purpose of chapter
- Outline what will be discussed – summary of findings chapter, study aim and objectives (?), discussion to be guided by the themes that emerged from the data, then implications for practice, limitations and recommendations.

Breastfeeding just like everyone else

1.1 Intention and motivation to breastfeed

- Intention to breastfeed is one of the strongest predictors of breastfeeding success and is a potentially modifiable factor. Strategies could be aimed at increasing this antenatally.

Women identified breastfeeding as convenient (often in hindsight, or as multiples) – this aspect of BF could be highlighted antenatally.


1.2 Supports and enablers

- Women all identified sources of support – they were not lacking. For the population under study it was not necessarily an issue of providing supports, but rather identifying and highlighting supports (if needed – each could do so).
- “Support was perceived as helpful regardless of the form it took” – from findings chapter. The women did not differentiate between types of support or present a preference particularly.
- Partner support is another strong predictor of breastfeeding success, both practical and emotional. Again potentially modifiable, as well as affected by FIFO – antenatal preparation in the form of education sessions could be a good strategy.

Using written sources in your research story

- Direct quotation - used very little
- Summarising - effective analysis should result in summary
- Paraphrasing - vital for developing your own *scholarly voice* (= your own clarification or translation of the passage)
- Synthesising - crucial for making the connections between voices/views/approaches/methods/findings

Your scholarly voice



Your scholarly voice

- You can't expect to be a good writer, unless you are a reader (McDonough, 2017)
- Beginnings of paragraphs/sentences are important
- How much space are you giving other voices?

Sciences: ideas more important than authors, research presented as fact



HASS: authors more important than ideas, you need to work out where you are in relation to what they claim the *truth* to be

(Mewburn, Firth & Lehmann, 2019)



Academic Phrasebank

Introducing Work

Referring to Sources

Describing Methods

Reporting Results

Discussing Findings

Writing Conclusions

HOME »

GENERAL LANGUAGE FUNCTIONS

Being Cautious

Being Critical

Classifying and Listing

Compare and Contrast

Defining Terms

Describing Trends

Describing Quantities

Explaining Causality

Giving Examples

Signalling Transition

Writing about the Past

Classifying and Listing

When we classify things, we group and name them on the basis of something that they have in common. By doing this we can understand certain qualities and features which they share as a class. Classifying is also a way of understanding differences between things. In writing, classifying is often used as a way of introducing a reader to a new topic. Along with writing definitions, the function of classification may be used in the early part of an essay, or longer piece of writing. We list things when we want to treat and present a series of items or different pieces of information systematically. The order of a list may indicate rank importance.

Classifying a topic

Describing a specific system of classification

Which tense to use in the literature review?

- Past tense can be the primary verb tense in the literature review section
described, found
- Emphasise a difference in timing with Past Perfect tense
had described, had found
- Use Present Perfect tense when timing is uncertain
have been testing

It is also OK to use present tense

Snyder's argument draws upon...

Saaba argues, identifies, elaborates...


... because the literature is still existent and in the public domain.

Most importantly: be consistent!

Tips for literature review

- ✓ Search – find the frame
- ✓ Select – stay within the frame
- ✓ Decide on process
- ✓ Read – take notes.
- ✓ Connect what you read.
- ✓ Find your scholarly voice.
- ✓ Be ready to get lost 😊
- ✓ Ask for help

(Adapted from Brabazon, 2017)



What you should do now...

GRASP webpage

<https://researchtoolkit.library.curtin.edu.au/grasp/about-grasp/>

Questions

LibraryResearchSupport@curtin.edu.au

Feedback/Networking

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1t7roPInys2xK_0zY69ivY9s09ZNs2iY_byLVdCSKD7M/edit?usp=sharing

References

Literature Reviews: Example from Biology'. 2000 Learning Resource Centre, Learning Development, University of Wollongong.

Academic Phrasebank – Referring to Sources. Accessed 16th March 2021 from <http://www.phrasebank.manchester.ac.uk/referring-to-sources/>

Brabazon, Tara. 2017. 'A Stropky Professor's Guide to Literature Review.' Office of Graduate Research, Flinders University. Accessed 22nd of March 2021 at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SejiQu-PtMI>

Johnson, S. 2004. 'Mastering verb tenses in literature reviews.' *Nurse Author & Editor*. Winter, 2004. 14 (1) 7 – 9.

Machi, L. A. ; McEvoy, B. T. 2016. *The Literature Review: Six Steps to Success*. Third edition. Thousand Oaks, California : Corwin

Mewburn, Inger. Information indigestion? The search for a perfect note taking system. Blog post, April 7, 2021. Reterieved from <https://thesiswhisperer.com/2021/04/07/perfectnotes/>

Sharon McDonough: Playing, moving and shifting. In: Postgraduate Study in Australia. McMast Murphy, Whitburn and Mewburn, 2017, p. 60

How to fix your academic writing trouble. Mewburn, I., Firth, K. & Lehmann, S. 2019. Open University Press, GB.